

Issue Statement:

This matrix is intended to present options for selecting a Regional/Sub-regional approach for the San Francisco Bay Area IRWMP. These options are intended to build on current operations that recognize four functional areas and not to replace them. For future grant funding the region needs to consider both regional and sub-regional project selection and funding scenarios; this matrix will assist in choosing the best approach.

CRITERIA		OPTIONS																											
		OPTION 1: No Division. Use region as basis for total allocation.				OPTION 2: Divide by 9 subregions: Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco Counties				OPTION 3: Divide by 3 subregions: N: Marin-Sonoma-Napa-Solano E: Contra Costa-Alameda SW: SF-San Mateo-Santa Clara				OPTION 4: Divide by 4 subregions: 1. Marin-Sonoma 2. Napa-Solano 3. Contra Costa-Alameda 4. SF-San Mateo-Santa Clara				OPTION 5: Divide by 4 subregions: N - Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano E - Alameda, Contra Costa S - Santa Clara W - San Mateo, SF				OPTION 6: Divide by 5 subregions: NW - Marin, Sonoma, NE - Napa, Solano E - Alameda, Contra Costa S - Santa Clara, Zone 7 W - San Mateo, SF				OPTION 7: Divide into 5 subregions: N: DAU 38, 40, 41, 391, 392 E-1: DAU 46 + part of 47 (N of San Mateo Bridge) E-2: DAU 45 + part of 47 (S of San Mateo Bridge) S: DAU 44 W: DAU 42 and 43			
		0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3	0-1	2	3				
1	Allows sub-regional/local project prioritization and selection.	0					3			2					2				2			2							
2	Promotes integrated / multi-objective projects.	1					2						3			2						3							
3	Provides more opportunities for local agency stakeholder involvement.	1					3			2						2						2							
4	Provides more opportunities for local non-agency stakeholder involvement.	1					3			2						2						2							
5	Generates local political support for IRWMP.	1					3			2					2.5							2			2.5				
6	Supports FP/SW Funct Area objectives.	1					3			2					1							2.5			1				
7	Supports WS/WQ Funct Area objectives.	0				0				1.5					1.5							3			0				
8	Supports WW/RW Funct Area objectives.	1				0.5				2					1.5							2.5			1.5				
9	Supports Watershed Funct Area objectives.	1					2						2.5			2.5						3			2.5				
10	Addresses complexities of region.	0				1				1.5						2						2			2.5				
11	Ease of implementation of subregion approach.	0					3			2.5						2						3			2				
12	Supports equitable funding allocation.	0					2			2						2						2			2				
13	Supports existing regional partnerships.			3			2			2						2						2			2				
14	Supports existing local partnerships	0					3			2.5						2						2.5			2				
15	Encourages development of new partnerships.	1				1.5				3						2.5						2.5			2				
16	Consistent with or takes advantage of existing water supply, recycled water and wastewater infrastructure.			3		1				2						2						2			2				
17	Encourages Environmental Justice and Disadvantaged Communities participation.	1					3			1.5					1.5							1.5			1.5				
TOTAL		15	9	0	6	36	4	8	24	36	4.5	25.5	6	33	5.5	27.5	0	39.5	1.5	26	12	32.5	2.5	27	3	37.5	2	14.5	21

Ranking:

Each of the 17 criteria were scored for each option, using a scale from 0 to 3, 3 being the high. The option with the highest total score is the preferred option.